

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/545,888	04/07/2000	Akira Koyama	F-10110 2164		
21254	7590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER		
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			RYMAN, DANIEL J		
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, V	A 22182-3817		2665		

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/545,888	KOYAMA, AKIRA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Daniel J. Ryman	2665		

·	Daniel J. Ryman	2665	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later tha Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th in SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on water filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stall above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	dension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	of will not be entered	Decause
<ul><li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li><li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li></ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	PTE below);	
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-5,10 and 11. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 6-9,15 and 18-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		vill be entered and an	explanation of
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. ☐ Other:	HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM	IINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05) TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 6 and 7, Applicant asserts that Shima does not disclose transmitting and receiving a telephone signal over a serial bus wherein the serial bus also carries a television signal. Examiner, respectfully, disagrees. Shima discloses interconnecting the devices using a high-speed bus (col. 2, lines 8-20). Shima also discloses that devices located on the high-speed bus will receive telephone calls (col. 5, lines 1-26). Finally, Shima suggests that the devices located on the high-speed bus include televisions by disclosing the use of camcorders and VCRs (col. 3, lines 57-col. 4, line 3). Therefore, Shima discloses, or at the very least suggests, that the high-speed multimedia bus carries both telephone and television signals.

Regarding claim 8, Applicant asserts that a global call-in is different than a conference call because a conference call does not involve all telephones on the system. However, in the circumstance in which a conference call does involve all of the telephones on the system, then the conference call equates to Applicant's "global call." Applicant further asserts that the cited prior art does not disclose a "number display;" however, Applicant admits that the prior art discloses Caller ID. The Caller ID equates to a "number display" since the telephone number of the calling party is displayed using Caller ID. Finally, Applicant asserts that one of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to have the gateway select one of automatic transfer by a number display, a global call-in, or a manual call-in on the basis of setup data in order to determine the number of connections required for a call since Shima already performs this function. However, as Applicant notes, Shima only sends the call signal to all voice enabled devices. Thus, Shima's system will call every voice enabled device even if only a connection to a single voice enabled device is desired. However, in a system which permits a call to only a single voice enabled device, the system must determine the type of call in order to permit a system to correctly determine the number of connections required for a given call, i.e. a global call which will use multiple connections or a manual call which will use less than all connections.

Regarding claim 9, Applicant asserts that sending a message regarding bandwidth does not inform other devices of a call status. Examiner, respectfully, disagrees. Clearly if the bandwidth is consumed, then the connection has been established and is being used. Conversely, if the connection has failed then the bandwidth will be available for other devices to use. Thus, Shima suggests signaling the call status to other devices when Shima discloses signaling the other devices of the bandwidth availability of the network.